

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/518,182	06/20/2005	Paul R Routley	30740/285902	3530	
	7590 06/23/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			MANDEVILLE, JASON M		
6300 SEARS T CHICAGO, IL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,182	ROUTLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON M. MANDEVILLE	2629	

	JASON M. MANDEVILLE	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause			
(a) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
appeal; and/or		nated alabase				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		Inpliant Americanient (1 101-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil	I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov			•			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.7.10.13.14.17.23.27.28 and 30-33.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
12. Note the attached information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (1 10/05/00) Fapel No(s).					
/Alexander Eisen/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Jason Mandeville Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2629

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has argued that none of the references relied upon by the examiner, namely Yamazaki (US 6,424,326), Sakamoto (US 5,594,463), and Tomita (JP-2000-132133), teteration of rain'y suggest the limitations of independent Claims 1 and 17. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the reasons provided in the prior Office Action. As the applicant has not provided any amendments to the claims, the applicant's arguments will be entered, but the rejection of the claims is maintained.